TREATY ON INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION IN THE AREA OF PATENTS

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Treaty on International Cooperation in the Area of Patents)

Applicant's or Agent's File Ref. 42 284.%nb	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416 Priority Date (Month/Day/Year) December 1, 2003			
International Application No. PCT/EP2004/012129	International Filing Date (Month/Day/Year) October 27, 2004				
International Patent Classification (IPC) or National Classification and IPC B21C47/34, B21B39/00, B21C47/00					
Applicant SMS DEMAG AG et al.					

1.	. This international preliminal Examining Authority accord	This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by the duly commissioned International Preliminary Examining Authority according to Article 35 and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.				
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 5 pages, including this cover sheet.					
3.		inied by ANNEXES; these comprise ant and the International Office) a total of 5 pages; these are				
		escription, claims, and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or ng rectifications that have been approved by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Instructions)				
	pages which re field, in the opi	eplace earlier pages but which, for the reasons given in field No. 1, item 4, and in the supplementary nion of this Authority, contain a change that goes beyond the disclosed contents of the oplication in the version originally submitted.				
	b. (sent only to the Int contain a sequence	ernational Office): (please specify the type and number of the electronic data carrier(s)), which elisting and/or accompanying tables, only in computer-readable form, as specified in the dregarding the sequence listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions)				
4.	. This report contains indication	ons relating to the following items:				
	□ Field No. II P	asis of the report riority Ionestablishment of opinion with respect to novelty, inventive activity, and commercial viability.				
	☐ Field No. IV L	ack of unity of the invention				
		ubstantiated determination under Article 35(2) with respect to novelty, inventive activity, and ommercial viability; documents and declarations in support of this determination				
		ertain documents cited				

Date of submission of the petition:	Date of completion of this report:
June 20, 2005	December 23, 2005
Name and mailing address of the office assigned to perform the preliminary examination: European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel: +49 89 2399-0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399-4465	Authorized Officer: Ritter, F. Tel: +49 89 2399-2387

Certain defects in the international application

☐ Field No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

☐ Field No. VII

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

_					
	Fie	eld No. I Basis of the Report			
1.	With respect to the language, the report is based on the international application in the language in which it was submitted unless otherwise indicated under this item.				
	Th the	e report is based on a translation e language of the translation, whic	from the original language into the following language, which is th was submitted for the following purpose:		
		□ international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1 b))			
		□ publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4)			
□ international preliminary examination (under Rule 55.2 and/or Rule 55.3)					
2.	(re ac	placement pages which were sub	s* of the international application, the report is based on bmitted to the application office in compliance with a request ed "originally filed" in the context of this report and are not		
	Sp	ecification, pages:			
	2, 1,		as originally filed received on October 21, 2005 by fax		
	Cla	aims, Nos.:			
	1-1	I 1	received on October 21, 2005 by fax		
	Dr	awings, Pages:			
	1/1		as originally filed		
		a sequence listing and/or any acc quence listing.	companying tables – see supplementary field regarding the		
3.		The amendments have resulted	in the cancellation of:		
		☐ the description: pages			
		□ the claims: Nos.			
	☐ the drawings: sheets/figures:				
		☐ the sequence listing (exact details):			
		□ any tables accompanying the	e sequence listing (exact details):		
4.	. This report has been prepared as if (some of) the amendments attached to this report and liste				

International File No.: PCT/EP2004/012129

	below had not been made, considered by this Authorit	since for th y to go beyo	ne reasons specified in the supplementary field they are ond the disclosure as originally filed (Rule 70.2 c)).		
	☐ the description: pages				
	☐ the claims: Nos.				
	☐ the drawings: sheets/fig	ngs: sheets/figures:			
	☐ the sequence listing (exa	act details):			
	☐ any tables accompanyin	g the seque	ence listing (exact details):		
"r	* If Item 4 applies, some eplaced."	or all of th	nese pages can be furnished with the comment		
Fi ac	eld No. V Substantiated detern tivity, and commercial viability	mination ui	nder Article 35(2) with respect to novelty, inventive nts and declarations in support of this determination		
1.	Determination				
	Novelty (N)	Yes: No:	Claims 1-11 Claims		
	Inventive Activity (AI):	Yes: No:	Claims 1-11 Claims		
	Commercial Viability (CV):	Yes: No:	Claims 1-11 Claims		
2.	Documents and Declarations (R	ule 70.7):			
	See attached page.				

IMPIBREC'S PUTIPIU J1 JUN 2005

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (ATTACHED PAGE)

10/581479

International File No.: PCT/EP2004/012129

Re Item V.

1. The following documents are cited in the present office action:

D1: PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN, Vol. 014, No. 052 (M-0928), January 30, 1990 (1990-01-30) -&; JP 01 278906 A (NIPPON STEEL CORP; others: 01), November 9, 1989 (1989-11-09)

D3: JP 55-068161 A

D4: WO 02/103066 A

2. INDEPENDENT CLAIM 1

The object of Claim 1 is a reel driver for rolling mills with an upper and a lower driving roll. A reel driver of this type is widely known and is disclosed, for example, in Figure 5 in D1.

The object of Claim 1 differs from this in that both driving rolls are provided with a cast jacket, which, in the case of the lower driving roll, is mounted on a steel roll shaft by adhesive bonding and/or shrink fitting and, in the case of the upper driving roll, is held between two clamping elements arranged on a shaft.

By designing the upper driving roll as a hollow roll, this roll can be made larger without a significant weight increase, which would be unfavorable for the operation. By virtue of the fact that the lower driving roll is made of steel, it has especially good supporting properties.

Although D1 discloses the mounting of cast jackets on solid roll shafts, these solid shafts are made of cast materials and not of steel. D1 does not disclose the clamping of individual cast jackets.

The documents D3 and D4 also fail to suggest the object of Claim 1, since D3 discloses only the general teaching of shrink fitting a jacket onto a roll barrel but does not disclose the shrink fitting of a complete cast jacket, and D4 discloses a clamping connection between a jacket and a shaft in a roller of a roller table, which is a totally different type of roll that cannot be compared with a driving roll.

Therefore, the object of independent Claim 1 is novel and inventive (Articles 33(2) and 33(3) PCT).

3. Dependent Claims 2 to 11:

Dependent Claims 2 to 11 disclose refinements of the reel driver in accordance with Claim 1. Accordingly, the objects of these claims are also novel and inventive (Articles 33(2) and 33(3) PCT).